(3)
Final

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 2082 OF 2003

PETITIONER : Smt. Alka Jain, wife of Shri Rajendra Kumar Jain, aged about 37 years, (Assistant Professor), resident of Manjusha Niwas, Deviganj Road, Ambikapur, Distt : Surguja (C.G).

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary, Department of Higher Education, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G).

2. Commissioner, Higher Education, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G).

3. The Principal, Govt. Girls College, Ambikapur, Distt : Surguja (C G).

P. R. No. 1949/03
Presented by Shri D.K. Vishwakarma
dated 15.9.03

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 2082/03 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	18-9-2003 Heard Shri V.K. Pandey, counsel for the petitioner. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India and the main grievance of the petitioner is that she is not granted two grade increments by the respondents. Facts leading to filing of this writ petition are that the petitioner is working as Assistant Professor (Home Science Department) in Government Girls College, Ambikapur since 8-11-89 and later on the petitioner was selected by the Public Service Commission, Madhya Pradesh as Assistant Professor on 22nd February 1993. The petitioner submitted her joining report before respondent No.3 along with degree of M. Phil. State Administrative Tribunal, Madhya Pradesh passed an order for payment of increment in the case of many other similarly placed persons. The petitioner made representation regarding the payment of increment before respondents, but respondents have not considered the same. The petitioner filed an application before the Tribunal and the case was fixed for final hearing. Now, the State Administrative Tribunal has been abolished. The Tribunal has passed orders in many other identical cases whereby the Tribunal has given the benefit of increment to the similarly placed persons. But, in spite of several representations the respondents are not granting two grade increments to the petitioner.	

पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

(39)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Barve*	The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the lecturers who possess the degree of M. Phil are entitled for two grade increments and looking to this provision other lecturers have already been granted two grade increments, whereas the respondents have not granted two grade increments to the petitioner and that is why the petitioner made representation before the respondents but they have not decided the same. Having regard to the facts and circumstances, the petitioner is allowed to make a representation before respondent No.2 within a period of 10 days raising all her grievances and mentioning all the cases in which similarly placed persons have been granted two grade increments and respondent No.2 after receiving the representation of the petitioner shall decide the same within a period of 3 months by passing reasoned and appropriate order for disposing of the representation of the petitioner. With these observation, the writ petition is disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No. 1880/2003 and I.A. No. 6323/2003 also stand disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	

[पीछे देखिये